UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,723	09/12/2003	Sandip Datta Roy	60046.0062US01	60046.0062US01 8283	
53377 HOPE BALDA	7590 09/13/2007 UFF HARTMAN, LLC		EXAMINER		
1720 PEACHTREE STREET, N.W			VIDWAN, JASJIT S		
SUITE 1010 ATLANTA, GA	A 30309		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2182		
				/	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			0		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/661,723	DATTA ROY ET AL	•		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
	Jasjit S. Vidwan	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	<u></u>		l		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		-	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A 1. ⊠ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			andonment of		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	·	, ,			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They are not deemed to place the application in bo	onsideration and/or search (see NOow);	TE below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	,	,	3		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:		
See attached sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTQ /3B /08) Paper No(s)				
12 Othor:	<u> </u>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/661,723

Art Unit: 2182

Continuation of 11: Applicant argues that prior art of record fails to teach:

(a) "Reading the drive head register destination; [and] detecting whether the data read from the drive head register destination matches the data written to the drive head register destination."

(b) "Drive selection value"

(c) "Basic input/output system program capable of being executed on the processor and, when

executed on the processor, operative to"

With respect to argument (a), **Examiner disagrees**. Hartung discloses issuing commands that cause particular one of a set of values to be loaded into registers [Col. 11, Lines 32-35]. Following this step, the microprocessor <u>reads the data</u> from the register and outputs the values in the register onto buses 60A-60D. When same values are matched with the devices connected to the host computer, hexadecimal value "EB14" is returned over the bus to indicate that IDE device is connected. However, if the values do not match with those read from the register, a hexadecimal "F" values are issued to indicate that no device is connected to the host system. The value read from the register and output on the bus is not matched against the "signature", but rather the signature is created following the matching of the read values to indicate whether an IDE device is connected or not.

With respect to argument (b), **Examiner disagrees**. Applicant argues that prior art does not teach loading "drive selection value" in the register, however in next paragraph of remarks states that "certain set of values" are loaded in the register instead. It should be noted that prior art does not need to teach exact terminology as the Applicant for what values are loaded into the register as long as it can be shown that some values are loaded into a register and the purpose of those values (to indicate whether IDE device is connected to host or not) is same as claimed invention then it is established that prior art reads on the limitations set forth in the claim language.

With respect to argument (c), **Examiner disagrees**. Applicant argues that the recited portion of Hartung provides absolutely no disclosure of BIOS operative to perform the claimed operations. However, the claimed invention does not require the "program" to be BIOS operative to perform therein after claimed operations. The portion of the invention is simply software per se and Hartung teaches a

Art Unit: 2182

microprocessor operable to execute software to perform the claimed operations [Col. 10, Lines 6-14]. It has already been established that Hartung teaches the method that follow the above limitations and therefore it is inherent that the said methods were executed via a software being run on the microprocessor [Fig. 6, element 62] as taught by Hartung.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasjit S. Vidwan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7936. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIM HUYNH can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSV 9/5/07